




Docket No.: 240368US2DIV

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



RE: Application Serial No.: 10/625,509

Applicants: Kanghoon LEE

Filing Date: July 24, 2003

For: JAVA PRINTER

Group Art Unit: 2624

Examiner: GARCIA, G. I.

SIR:


Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James J. Kulbaski  
Registration No. 34,648

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000 (phone)  
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Andrew T. Harry  
Registration No. 56,959

DOCKET NO: 240388US2DIV



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :  
KANGHOON LEE : EXAMINER: GARCIA, G. I.  
SERIAL NO: 10/625,509 :  
FILED: JULY 24, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2624  
FOR: JAVA PRINTER :

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 8, 2005, the Applicant elects with traverse the invention of Group I identifying Claims 3-5 and 20 as readable on the elected invention.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement based on MPEP § 803, which states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.


The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully traverses the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application No. 10/625,509  
Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2005

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 3-20 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



---

James J. Kulbaski  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 34,648

Customer Number  
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 06/04)

Andrew T. Harry  
Registration No. 56,959

I:\ATTY\ATH\PROSECUTION\24's\240368US\240368 RESTR RESP.DOC